

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O. I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/692,570	•	10/24/2003	Judith D. Auslander	F-756	9120
919	7590	04/27/2006		EXAMINER	
PITNEY	BOWES I	NC.	SHAH, MANISH S		
35 WATE P.O. BOX	ERVIEW DE	RIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MSC 26-2	22		2853		
SHELTO	N, CT 064	84-8000	DATE MAILED: 04/27/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	<u></u>	10/692,570	AUSLANDER, JUDITH D.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Manish S. Shah	2853			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	opears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING INTERIOR IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING INTERIOR IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING INTERIOR IS SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA: .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)	, —	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters		S		
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□	Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10-43</u> is/are withdrated Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 9</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>7 and 8</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.				
	The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner				
, —	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	•	the Examiner.			
- , _	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11) <u> </u>	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		•	d).		
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachman	t(c)					
2) Notice 3) Information Paper	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 or No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-3, 5 & 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Curry (# US 5946103) in view of Bauer et al. (# US 6176908).

Curry discloses a method for producing indicium on article including the steps of providing a supply of ink having multi-signal transmission ink which is adapted to provide an optically visual signal when viewed in normal daylight and adapted to provide a different signal which is adapted to be machine readable (see Abstract; column: 6, line: 40-67; column: 7, line: 1-25); and printing at least a portion of the indicium on the article by halftone printing the multi-signal transmission ink, wherein the portion is visually observable as a halftoned signal in normal day light (column: 8, line: 15-60), and wherein the portion is read as a non-halftoned signal by a machine even though the portion is halftone printed (see Abstract; column: 7, line: 20-66).

Curry differs from the claim of the present invention is that the multi-signal transmission ink includes color fluorescent ink, wherein the color fluorescent ink provides an increased percentage of print growth relative to non-fluorescent ink to provide enlarged print growth per pixel.

Bauer et al. teaches that multi signal transmission ink having red or magenta pigment, polymeric dispersant, a fluorescent dye (multi-signal transmission ink) (see Abstract) which is adapted to provide a different signal which is adapted to machine readable (column: 2, line: 25-46); and printing at least portion of the indicium on the article by drop on demand or continuous printing the multi-signal transmission ink, wherein portion is adapted to be visually observable in normal day light, and the portion is adapted to be read as a non-halftone signal by a machine even though the portion is halftone printed (column: 10, line: 54-67; column: 11, line: 1-26).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method for printing indicium on article of Curry by the aforementioned teaching of Bauer et al. in order to have a high resolution and high gradation printed image.

2. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Curry (# US 5946103) in view of Bauer et al. (# US 6176908) as applied to claims 1-3, 5 & 9 above, and further in view of Lent et al. (# US 5837042).

Curry and Bauer et al. discloses all the limitation of the method of producing indicium on article except that the fluorescent ink includes a rare earth complex.

Lent et al. teaches that to get the invisible to the unaided eye marking on the print medium, fluorescent ink includes a rare earth metal (see Abstract; column: 4, line: 40-67).

Application/Control Number: 10/692,570 Page 4

Art Unit: 2853

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method for printing indicium on article of Curry as modified by the aforementioned teaching of Lent et al. in order to have a invisible to unaided eye printed image.

3. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Curry (# US 5946103) in view of Bauer et al. (# US 6176908) as applied to claims 1-3, 5 & 9 above, and further in view of Connell et al. (# US 5554842).

Curry and Bauer et al. discloses all the limitation of the method of producing indicium on article except that the fluorescent ink includes phosphorescent ink.

Connell et al. teaches that to get the stable ink composition, the fluorescent ink includes a rare earth metal and phosphorescent compound (see Abstract; column: 7, line: 25-37).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method for printing indicium on article of Curry as modified by the aforementioned teaching of Lent et al. in order to have a invisible to unaided eye printed image.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 7 & 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

(1) The steps of printing includes halftone printing with fill of less than 50%, more preferably about 15%.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Manish S. Shah whose telephone number is (571) 272-2152. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen D. Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/692,570

Art Unit: 2853

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Manish S. Shah Primary Examiner Art Unit 2853 Page 6

MSS

4/25/06

2853